



conflict with the law. Can we accept a dichotomy in society between people who have proof of vaccination and people who have not been vaccinated against Covid-19? Is this a form of discrimination that can be justified in the context of public health and therefore not in violation of the prohibition of discrimination, as laid down in Article 1 of the Constitution? When a vaccination certificate becomes necessary for access to cinemas, shops, concerts and travel abroad - and those who do not have the certificate are banned from doing so - there is a dangerous precedent. Who should issue such a statement? The Communal Health Services (GGD) and GPs? And what form should that statement take? Can it be securely linked to the digital identification? Already massive leaks of personal data were discovered within the Covid testing system (by GGD). In any case, if there were to be a registration, it requires a different approach than the simplistic distribution of a paper and easily falsified vaccination certificate in order to regain 'normal' access to society in all its aspects. An obvious requirement of catering and event organizations for such a vaccination certificate may not be accepted by the government without further ado. A careful and more carefully considered access policy for risk-free persons to public and enclosed spaces and activities is required in the return to a 'normally' accessible society. In doing so, the step of simply creating a dichotomy between a vaccinated and an unvaccinated part of society should be avoided. It is likely that the government will be happy to ignore this problem. I consider a careful policy based on

**"It is likely that the government will be happy to ignore this problem."**

yet another amendment to the corona emergency law to create a basis for both the registration of vaccinees and the exchange of sensitive data between health institutions and relevant third parties extremely unlikely. With a vaccination coverage of 60% with voluntary vaccination, the chance of further spread of the pandemic is extremely small in view of the foregoing, and a separation of society between vaccinated and unvaccinated becomes completely unnecessary and not acceptable. It is extremely important that a harmonized European position on this issue will be achieved so that not every country sets its own rules. Schengen will have to be applied again as it is intended. But that will turn out to be wishful thinking.



#### About the author

Robert van den Hoven van Genderen is professor AI Robotlaw at the University of Lapland, director of the Centre for Law and Internet at the Law Faculty of the Vrije Universiteit and president of the Netherlands Association for AI & Robotlaw. Before his academic positions he worked a.o. as director Regulatory affairs in the Telecommunications industry.